# RESPOC Review Topics 2011/12

Contact Officer: Natasha Dogra Telephone: 01895 277488

#### **REASON FOR ITEM**

To enable the Committee to agree the review it wishes to undertake in the 2011/12 Council year.

### **OPTIONS OPEN TO THE COMMITTEE**

1. Agree a topicfor in-depth review in 2011/12

#### INFORMATION

- 1. The Committee is responsible for undertaking the 'policy overview' role in relation to the services provided by the Environment and Consumer Protection Group and the Planning and Community Services Group. The full range of services under the Committee's remit is outlined in the terms of reference at the start of the agenda.
- 2. Previous experience from both Hillingdon and other Councils indicates that the Committee can have the greatest impact by focusing on a work programme agreed at the start of the Council year. Similarly, focusing upon one or two items at each meeting can help Members engage with the major issues and encourage stakeholder engagement.
- 3. As in previous years, the Committee is recommended to use this first meeting of the year to set a work programme for the next 12 months and select one or two topics for major review.
- 4. In selecting topics, Members are reminded of the Committee's work in from 2006 to 2010, which included reviews of:

#### 2006/7

Tackling anti-social behaviour Increasing recycling and reducing waste A specialist domestic violence court for Hillingdon

#### 2007/8

Highways maintenance Community consultation and engagement Cleanliness of the environment

#### 2008/9

CCTV Strategy Heritage in Hillingdon

Residents' and Environmental Services Policy Overview Committee Part 1 – Members, Public and Press

#### 2009/10

Street Lighting
Illegal Imported Cosmetics and Food
Planning Enforcement – construction and use of back buildings (homes in back gardens).

#### 2010/11

Khat

**Town Twinning** 

#### SUGGESTED SCRUTINY ACTIVITY

Members agree one or two topics for in-depth review, using the selection criteria below suggested by the Audit Commission and their knowledge of residents' priorities.

# Selection criteria recommended by the Audit Commission (A) Possible Reasons for Scrutiny

Strong public interest

Government pressure

Included in the council plan or Hillingdon Improvement Programme Inspection report recommendation (e.g. performance below target)

## (B) Scope for Making an Impact

Area within Council's control/influence High impact on residents Expertise available on which to draw Good practice available elsewhere